

International Comparative Legal Guides



Foreign Direct Investment Regimes 2021

A practical cross-border insight into FDI screening regimes

Second Edition

Featuring contributions from:

Advokatfirmaet Thommessen AS
ABNR Counsellors at Law
Anderson, Mōri & Tomotsune
Baker Botts L.L.P.
Barun Law LLC
BEITEN BURKHARDT
Boga & Associates
Gowling WLG

Hassan Radhi & Associates
Houthoff
Ipek | Akbal Schwimann
Iwata Godo
Lehman, Lee & Xu
Morais Leitão, Galvão Teles, Soares da Silva & Associados
Niederer Kraft Frey Ltd

PRA Law Offices
Schoenherr
Schoenherr-Hetényi Attorneys-at-Law
Stikeman Elliott LLP
Wiggin and Dana LLP
Wolf Theiss
Wolf Theiss Rechtsanwälte GmbH & Co KG

Expert Chapters

- 1** **Global Developments in FDI Screening in Light of the COVID-19 Pandemic**
Matthew Levitt, Sofia Doudountsaki & David Gabathuler, Baker Botts L.L.P.
- 7** **European Union**
Matthew Levitt, Sofia Doudountsaki & David Gabathuler, Baker Botts L.L.P.
- 15** **Recent FDI Trends in the APEC Region**
Akira Matsuda & Tomohiro Suzuki, Iwata Godo
- 19** **Why Deep Knowledge of U.S. Export Controls is Essential for Navigating CFIUS Requirements**
Tahlia Townsend & David L. Hall, Wiggin and Dana LLP

Q&A Chapters

- 25** **Albania**
Boga & Associates: Genc Boga & Alketa Uruçi
- 30** **Angola**
Morais Leitão, Galvão Teles, Soares da Silva & Associados: Claudia Santos Cruz & André de Sousa Vieira
- 37** **Austria**
Schoenherr: Volker Weiss & Constantin Fladerer
- 42** **Bahrain**
Hassan Radhi & Associates: Fatima Al Ali, Saifuddin Mahmood & Hassan Al Koofi
- 47** **Canada**
Stikeman Elliott LLP: Mike Laskey & Jessica Rutledge
- 54** **China**
Lehman, Lee & Xu: Jacob Blacklock & Steve Shi
- 61** **Czech Republic**
Wolf Theiss: Jitka Logesová, Robert Pelikán & Tereza Mrázková
- 66** **Germany**
BEITEN BURKHARDT: Philipp Cotta, Patrick Alois Huebner & Christian von Wistinghausen
- 72** **Hungary**
Schoenherr-Hetényi Attorneys-at-Law: Kinga Hetényi & Adrián Menczelesz
- 79** **India**
PRA Law Offices: Apoorva Agrawal & Sanjeev Jain
- 86** **Indonesia**
ABNR Counsellors at Law: Elsie F. Hakim, Giffy Pardede & Monic Nisa Devina
- 91** **Japan**
Anderson, Mōri & Tomotsune: Hiroaki Takahashi & Koji Kawamura
- 99** **Korea**
Barun Law LLC: Joo Hyoung Jang, Rieu Kim, Kyunghun Kim & Youjin Hwang
- 105** **Kosovo**
Boga & Associates: Genc Boga & Sokol Elmazaj
- 110** **Mozambique**
Morais Leitão, Galvão Teles, Soares da Silva & Associados: Claudia Santos Cruz & André de Sousa Vieira
- 116** **Netherlands**
Houthoff: Gerrit Oosterhuis, Weijer VerLoren van Themaat, Victorine Dijkstra & Jori de Goffau
- 122** **Norway**
Advokatfirmaet Thommessen AS: Eivind J. Vesterkjær & Magnus Hauge Greaker
- 127** **Poland**
Wolf Theiss: Jakub Pietrasik & Jacek Michalski
- 133** **Romania**
Wolf Theiss Rechtsanwälte GmbH & Co KG: Ileana Glodeanu, Cornelia Postelnicu & Bryan Jardine
- 138** **Slovenia**
Schoenherr: Eva Škufca & Lea Avsenik
- 143** **Switzerland**
Niederer Kraft Frey Ltd: Philipp Candreia & Philippe Weber
- 149** **Turkey**
Ipek | Akbal Schwimann: Ceyda Akbal Schwimann
- 156** **United Kingdom**
Gowling WLG: Samuel Beighton & Bernardine Adkins
- 166** **USA**
Baker Botts L.L.P.: Matthew T. West, Paul Luther & Jason Wilcox

Slovenia



Eva Škufca



Lea Avsenik

Schoenherr

1 Foreign Investment Policy

1.1 What is the national policy with regard to the review of foreign investments (including transactions) on national security and public order grounds?

The FDI screening rules are a novelty in the Slovenian regulatory landscape. They were introduced as a result of the EU initiative to establish a comprehensive framework at the EU level for the screening of foreign direct investments and closely follow the objectives and principles set forth in the Regulation (EU) 2019/452 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 March 2019 establishing a framework for the screening of foreign direct investments into the Union (“**FDI Screening Regulation**”).

It should, however, be noted that the screening regime in Slovenia catches not only investments from third countries, but also from the EU Member States. The official explanation from the Slovenian legislator is that the regime is framed in such a way to also catch investments from foreign investors who are established in the EU, but do not *de facto* do business in the EU and are often under direct or indirect control of governments, state bodies or armed forces of third countries, including through ownership structure or substantial funding.

The regime has only recently become operational and the Ministry of Economic Development and Technology (the “**Ministry**”), as the designated authority for the screening of foreign direct investments in Slovenia, has not yet specified any enforcement priorities or policy focus in this respect. It is, however, expected that the Ministry will closely follow the enforcement priorities of the European Commission and the other EU Member States.

1.2 Are there any particular strategic considerations that apply during foreign investment reviews?

There are currently no particular strategic considerations applicable in the review procedure, which would deviate from the ones set out in the FDI Screening Regulation. In other words, security and public order of the Republic of Slovenia are the two main strategic considerations applied during the review.

1.3 Are there any current proposals to change the foreign investment review policy or the current laws?

The current FDI screening mechanism was introduced by way of a so-called “third anti-corona intervention law” (see also question

2.1 below), which aims to contain and mitigate the consequences of the COVID-19 epidemic and covers different areas from FDI to public finance, public procurement, agriculture, certain state subsidies, infrastructure etc. As such, the current FDI framework is only temporary and will remain in force until 30 June 2023.

Although there are no proposals currently pending in this regard, it is expected that the current FDI framework will be replaced with a separate legal act, ensuring permanent legal basis for the FDI screening. Secondary legislation specifying in more detail the form of the FDI notification is also expected.

2 Law and Scope of Application

2.1 What laws apply to the control of foreign investments (including transactions) on grounds of national security and public order? Are there any notable developments in the last year?

The legal basis for the Slovenian FDI screening regime is the Act Determining the Intervention Measures to Mitigate and Remedy the Consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic (Official Gazette of the Republic of Slovenia, no. 80/20) (*Zakon o interventnih ukrepih za omilitve in odpravo posledic epidemije COVID-19*, Ur. l. RS, št. 80/20) (“**Slovenian FDI Screening Rules**”), which entered into force on 31 May 2020.

2.2 What kinds of foreign investments, foreign investors and transactions are caught? Is the acquisition of minority interests caught?

The following types of transactions by foreign (i.e. non-Slovenian) investors are caught by Slovenian FDI Screening Rules: (i) acquisitions of at least 10% in share capital or voting rights in a corporate entity registered in Slovenia; (ii) establishment of new corporate entities or expansions and/or diversifications of operations of an existing corporate entity in Slovenia (greenfield investments); and (iii) acquisitions of rights to dispose of land or real estate in Slovenia, essential for the use of critical infrastructure or located in the vicinity of such critical infrastructure.

The obligation to notify a foreign investment only applies if the respective type of transaction under question 2.2 (i), (ii) and (iii) above at the same time concerns one of the pre-defined “critical sectors” in accordance with Slovenian FDI Screening Rules (see below question 2.3 for a detailed overview).

As long as an interest of at least 10% is acquired and the transaction concerns one of the “critical sectors” (see under question 2.3 above), the filing requirement applies, even if the acquisition does not confer control.

2.3 What are the sectors and activities that are particularly under scrutiny? Are there any sector-specific review mechanisms in place?

The sectors and activities that are under particular scrutiny under Slovenian FDI Screening Rules largely mirror Article 4 of the FDI Screening Regulation and concern: (a) **critical infrastructure**, whether physical or virtual (including energy, transport, water, health, communications, media, data processing or storage, aerospace, defence, electoral or financial infrastructure, and sensitive facilities, as well as land and real estate crucial for the use of such infrastructure or land and real estate located in the vicinity of such infrastructure); (b) **critical technologies and dual-use items** as defined in point 1 of Article 2 of Council Regulation (EC) No 428/2009 (15), including artificial intelligence, robotics, semi-conductors, cybersecurity, aerospace, defence, energy storage, quantum and nuclear technologies as well as nanotechnologies and biotechnologies as well as healthcare, medical or pharmaceutical technologies; (c) **supply of critical inputs**, including energy or raw materials, as well as food security, medical and protective equipment; (d) **access to sensitive information**, including personal data, or the ability to control such information; (e) **the freedom and pluralism of the media**; and (f) **projects and programmes in the interest of the EU** as listed within Annex 1 of the EU FDI Screening Regulation.

2.4 How are terms such as ‘foreign investor’ and ‘foreign investment’ specifically addressed in the law?

Under Slovenian FDI Screening Rules, a “foreign investor” is defined as a company or organisation domiciled in, or a citizen of, an EU Member State, the EEA or Switzerland, or a third country.

“Foreign direct investment” is defined as (i) an investment by a foreign investor, the purpose of which is to establish or maintain lasting and direct links between a foreign investor and a corporate entity established in the Republic of Slovenia, by acquiring at least 10% participation in share capital or voting rights, (ii) an investment by a foreign investor in tangible or intangible assets for establishment of a new business unit, expansion of capacities of an existing business unit, diversification of production of an existing business unit into new products, or significant change of the overall production process of an existing business unit, and (iii) acquisition of rights to dispose of land/real estate essential for the use of critical infrastructure or land/real estate located in the vicinity of such infrastructure.

2.5 Are there specific rules for certain foreign investors such as state-owned enterprises (SOEs)?

No specific rules for SOEs apply. However, when determining whether a foreign direct investment is likely to affect security or public order of the Republic of Slovenia, the Ministry will take into account whether the foreign investor is directly or indirectly controlled by the government, state bodies or armed forces, of a third country, including through ownership structure or significant funding.

2.6 Is there a local nexus requirement for an acquisition or investment to fall under the scope of the national security review? If so, what is the nature of such requirement (existence of subsidiaries, assets, etc.)?

Yes. Transactions where the target undertaking (or any of the companies in the target’s group; see also under question

2.7 below) has a registered seat in Slovenia are caught by the screening regime, subject of course to fulfilment of other conditions. Transactions where a foreign investor acquires a right to dispose of land or real estate in Slovenia (essential for the use of critical infrastructure or in vicinity of such infrastructure) and greenfield investments, where transaction involves establishment of new operations or extension of existing capacities in Slovenia (by way of investments in tangible and intangible assets) also fall under the scope of the review (see also under question 2.4).

2.7 In cases where local presence is required to trigger the review, are indirect acquisitions of local subsidiaries and/or other assets also caught?

At the moment it is not entirely clear whether the regime applies to direct investments only or whether it covers indirect acquisitions as well.

Since there is no practice or secondary legislation available and given that other EU Member States opted to require a notification for direct and indirect investments, we cannot exclude at this stage that indirect acquisitions of control over Slovenian corporate entities should be notified as well.

3 Jurisdiction and Procedure

3.1 What conditions must be met for the law to apply? Are there any monetary thresholds?

There are no monetary thresholds. The filing obligation is triggered if a particular type of transaction or investment by a foreign (non-Slovenian) investor is covered by the Slovenian FDI Screening Rules (see question 2.2 above) and if the transaction concerns specific pre-defined sector or pre-defined activities (see under question 2.3 above).

3.2 Is the filing voluntary or mandatory? Are there any filing fees?

Slovenian FDI rules only foresee a mandatory filing where the filing triggers are fulfilled. No filing fees apply.

3.3 In the case of transactions, who is responsible for obtaining the necessary approval?

Either the foreign investor or the target are responsible for making the filing.

3.4 Can foreign investors engage in advance consultations with the authorities and ask for formal or informal guidance on the application of the approval procedure?

Slovenian FDI screening rules do not provide for an advance consultation mechanism or informal statements by the Ministry. However, informal, pre-notification consultations with the Ministry are possible and occur in practice (in our experience, it may take the Ministry up to a month or even more to issue a statement on whether the FDI notification is indeed required).

Recently the Ministry also communicated that it plans to establish a special commission, which will be in charge of issuing declarations to foreign investors, on the basis of filed notifications, whether screening proceedings will be carried out in respect of a specific, notified transaction or not.

3.5 What type of information do investors have to provide as part of their filing?

As there has been no secondary legislation adopted, which would clarify the notification process in further detail, and since for the time being there is no notification form available, the FDI notification must be filed directly on the basis of Slovenian FDI Screening Rules (secondary legislation, specifying a specific form of the notification is expected, see also question 1.3 above). The notification must include the following information:

- a) name/seat of the foreign investor and the target;
- b) annual turnover of the foreign investor and the target;
- c) total number of employees of the foreign investor and the target;
- d) securities trading code of the foreign investor and the target company;
- e) ownership structure of the foreign investor and the target, including information on the ultimate beneficial owner;
- f) the value and origin of financing for the investment;
- g) products, services and business activities of the foreign investor and the target (standardised corporate classification NACE);
- h) countries in which the foreign investor and the target carry out their relevant business activities;
- i) the date when the foreign investment will be/have been finalised; and
- j) the underlying contract/SPA.

3.6 Are there sanctions for not filing (fines, criminal liability, unwinding of the transaction, etc.) and what is the current practice of the authorities?

A fine of up to EUR 500,000 may be imposed on corporate entities who were obliged to notify but failed to do so within the mandatory deadline. The representatives of the corporate entities may in addition be fined in the amount of up to EUR 10,000.

3.7 What is the timeframe of review in order to obtain approval? Are there any provisions expediting the clearance?

After the FDI notification is filed, the Ministry upon a *prima facie* review of the FDI notification decides whether it will initiate screening proceedings or not. If, *prima facie* there are no issues, the Ministry does not initiate screening. In cases where FDI screening proceedings are initiated, a review period of two months from filing of the (complete) notification is envisaged. However, the two-month deadline is of instructive nature, i.e. if the Ministry does not issue a decision in the prescribed time, the transaction is not deemed cleared.

There is no fast track proceeding available at this stage.

3.8 Does the review need to be obtained prior to or after closing? In the former case, does the review have a suspensory effect on the closing of the transaction? Are there any penalties if the parties implement the transaction before approval is obtained?

The obligation to notify is an *ex post* obligation, i.e. the filing must be made within 15 days from the relevant filing trigger: (i) execution of a share purchase agreement or a public takeover bid; (ii) establishment, expansion or diversification of operations of a corporate entity in Slovenia; or (iii) acquisition of a right to dispose of land/real estate in Slovenia, which is essential for the use of critical infrastructure or in vicinity of such infrastructure.

No standstill obligation applies and the transaction can be closed prior to any feedback being given by the Ministry.

3.9 Can third parties be involved in the review process? If so, what are the requirements, and do they have any particular rights during the procedure?

In accordance with Slovenian FDI Screening Rules, the Ministry may request an opinion from third persons, i.e. different state, municipal and other public or private entities, during the review. Otherwise, the review proceedings are subject to general rules on administrative procedure, which give basis for the involvement of third parties in administrative proceedings. In general, any third party would have to demonstrate a legal interest in order to participate in the proceedings and would be subject to the same rights and obligations as the parties themselves.

3.10 What publicity is given to the process and the final decision and how is commercial information, including business secrets, protected from disclosure?

Slovenian FDI Screening Rules have no specific provisions indicating the obligation of publication of the decision – general administrative procedural rules apply.

The review proceedings shall in general not be public and conducted only on the basis of written submissions, i.e. FDI notification and potential supplements to the FDI notification. Official publications during or after the review process are also not foreseen in the general administrative procedure rules.

The notification and all adjoining documentation, which constitute confidential information and business secrets, must be treated as such in accordance with Slovenian FDI Screening Rules, as well as Articles 3, 10 and 12 of the FDI Screening Regulation.

3.11 Are there any other administrative approvals required (cross-sector or sector-specific) for foreign investments?

There are no sector-specific approvals in place designed specifically to capture foreign investments. However foreign investors should be aware that certain regulated industries such as banking, insurance, gaming, air transport and maritime transport, to list a few, envisage some limitations or require a pre-approval/licence granted by an appropriate Slovenian or EU institution.

4 Substantive Assessment

4.1 Which authorities are responsible for conducting the review?

The Slovenian Ministry of Economic Development and Technology.

4.2 What is the applicable test and who bears the burden of proof?

The Ministry assesses whether a foreign investment leads to an actual and sufficiently serious threat to the interests of public order and security (see also question 4.3 below for the evaluation criteria applied in the assessment). The burden of proof is with the Ministry.

4.3 What are the main evaluation criteria and are there any guidelines available?

The evaluation criteria applied by the Ministry mirror the ones specified in Article 4 (2) of the FDI Screening Regulation. Namely when determining whether a foreign direct investment is likely to affect security or public order, the Ministry will take into account: (a) whether the foreign investor is directly or indirectly controlled by the government, including state bodies or armed forces, of a third country, including through ownership structure or significant funding; (b) whether the foreign investor has already been involved in activities affecting security or public order in a Member State; or (c) whether there is a serious risk that the foreign investor engages in illegal or criminal activities.

No further guidelines are available at the time of submission of this overview.

4.4 In their assessment, do the authorities also take into account activities of foreign (non-local) subsidiaries in their jurisdiction?

To date, there is no practice available in this respect.

4.5 How much discretion and what powers do the authorities have to approve or reject transactions on national security and public order grounds?

Discretionary powers of the Ministry are limited (mainly) by the Ministry's obligation to ensure a Union-wide coordination and cooperation on the screening of foreign direct investments likely to affect security or public order and by applicable articles of the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU. The concepts of "public order" and "security" must be interpreted in accordance with the principle of free movement of capital mandated by the EU law.

4.6 Can a decision be challenged or appealed, including by third parties? Is the relevant procedure administrative or judicial in character?

In case the Ministry prohibits a transaction, its decision can be appealed to the Slovenian Government, which issues the final (administrative) decision on the case. Appeal is also available to third persons, if the decision affects their rights or legal interests, within the deadline applicable to the parties. The Government's decision, in turn, is subject to a full judicial review (again, involvement of third persons is subject to demonstration of a legal interest). This means that the decision can be appealed in front of the Administrative court and later the Supreme court (appeal is available to any third persons, if the decision affects their rights or legal interests, within the deadline applicable to the parties). If interpretation of EU law is in question, the Supreme court (or any other court when the parties cannot file an ordinary or extraordinary legal remedy), is obliged to ask for a preliminary ruling of the Court of Justice of the European Union.

4.7 Is it possible to address the authorities' objections to a transaction by providing remedies, such as undertaking or other arrangements?

Yes. Slovenian FDI Screening Rules give the Ministry the option to approve the transaction with conditions.

4.8 Are there any other relevant considerations? What is the recent enforcement practice of the authorities and have there been any significant cases? Are there any notable trends emerging in the enforcement of the FDI screening regime?

The regime is new and has only recently started to operate in practice. The Ministry has so far stressed on several occasions that the purpose of the law is not to discourage foreign investments as in practice only a marginal number of notified transactions will be reviewed. Moreover, based on the EU law, the circumstances in only a handful of cases, if any at all, will allow for an intervention.



Eva Škufca heads Schoenherr Slovenia office's EU & Competition and IP departments. She represents diverse clients in front of the Slovenian Competition Authority, works as a counsel in cases in front of the European Commission as well as acts as a representative in civil cases for damages arising out of anti-competitive conduct. She has broad experience in various sectors, having recently advised in the TMT, various market leading companies in the FMCG sector and the energy and automotive industry, to name just a few. Eva regularly advises on FDI matters as well as state aid issues arising from infrastructure and restructuring projects. Having a broad background in EU law, Eva also advised numerous foreign clients on the implementation of EU rules to Slovenian legislation. Eva is an author of numerous contributions on Slovenian competition law and gives guest lectures on the University of Ljubljana law school and private conferences.

Schoenherr
Tomšičeva 3
1000 Ljubljana
Slovenia

Tel: +386 1 200 09 82
Email: e.skufca@schoenherr.eu
URL: www.schoenherr.eu



Lea Avsenik is an associate at Schoenherr Slovenia where she is part of the banking, finance and capital markets practice group. She also regularly provides support on M&A, Competition and IP matters. She frequently works on complex financing transactions, non-performing loan portfolio sales and restructuring mandates and advises clients from the financial sector on regulatory and compliance matters in the area of banking and finance. Lea is fluent in English and has a solid command of German and Italian.

Schoenherr
Tomšičeva 3
1000 Ljubljana
Slovenia

Tel: +386 1 200 09 65
Email: l.avsensik@schoenherr.eu
URL: www.schoenherr.eu

Schoenherr is a leading full-service law firm providing local and international companies with advice. With 14 offices and four country desks, Schoenherr has a firm footprint in Central and Eastern Europe. The firm's lawyers are recognised leaders in their specialised areas and have a track record of getting deals done with a can-do, solution-oriented approach. Quality, flexibility, innovation and practical problem-solving in complex commercial mandates are at the core of Schoenherr's philosophy.

www.schoenherr.eu

schönherr

ICLG.com

Other titles in the ICLG series

Alternative Investment Funds
Anti-Money Laundering
Aviation Finance & Leasing
Aviation Law
Business Crime
Cartels & Leniency
Class & Group Actions
Competition Litigation
Construction & Engineering Law
Consumer Protection
Copyright
Corporate Governance
Corporate Immigration
Corporate Investigations
Corporate Tax
Cybersecurity
Data Protection
Derivatives
Designs

Digital Business
Digital Health
Drug & Medical Device Litigation
Employment & Labour Law
Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
Environment & Climate Change Law
Environmental, Social & Governance Law
Family Law
Fintech
Franchise
Gambling
Insurance & Reinsurance
International Arbitration
Investor-State Arbitration
Lending & Secured Finance
Litigation & Dispute Resolution
Merger Control
Mergers & Acquisitions
Mining Law

Oil & Gas Regulation
Outsourcing
Patents
Pharmaceutical Advertising
Private Client
Private Equity
Product Liability
Project Finance
Public Investment Funds
Public Procurement
Real Estate
Renewable Energy
Restructuring & Insolvency
Sanctions
Securitisation
Shipping Law
Telecoms, Media & Internet
Trade Marks
Vertical Agreements and Dominant Firms